

**WILLIAMSBURG
ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD MINUTES
Tuesday, July 9, 2002**

CALL TO ORDER AND ATTENDANCE

The regular semimonthly Architectural Review meeting was held on Tuesday, July 9, 2002, at 6:30 p.m. in the third Floor Conference Room of the Municipal Building.

Chairman Williams called the meeting to order. Present in addition to Mr. Williams were Board members Ms. Williams, Mr. Freiling, Mr. Brendel, Mr. Walker, Mr. Sandbeck, and Mr. Spence. Also present were Zoning Administrator Murphy and Zoning Officer Beck.

CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Brendel motioned to approve the consent agenda as presented.

ARB #68-02 CWF/Williams Sonoma/440 West Duke of Gloucester Street – Exterior Change (color change) – Approved.

ARB #64-02 Ashburn/376-112 Merrimac Trail – Exterior Change (patio enclosure) – Approved.

ARB

SIGN #30-02 CWF/Williams Sonoma/440 West Duke of Gloucester Street – Building Mounted Signs – Approved.

ARB #63-02 Horan/276 William Way – Exterior Change (add dormer) – Approved.

Recorded vote on the motion:

Aye: Ms. Williams, Mr. Freiling, Mr. Williams, Mr. Brendel, Mr. Walker, Mr. Sandbeck and Mr. Spence.

Nay: None.

Absent: None.

Abstain: Mr. Freiling, Mr. Sandbeck and Mr. Spence ARB# 68-02 & ARB Sign# 30-02.

ARCHITECTURAL PRESERVATION DISTRICT

ARB #65-02 Koz/306 Indian Springs Road – Fence

Gabriel Koz was present to discuss his fence proposal. He noted that galvanized steel posts with tennis netting were proposed to make the fence transparent. He gave the following reasons for the proposed fence:

- There is a retaining wall in the backyard with a 4-6 foot drop, and the proposed fence would keep his children from falling off the wall when playing in the backyard.
- Having a fence with netting would be less visible from the neighbors and still provide the view from his dwelling of the wooded area.
- The fence is temporary in that the posts are removable.

A discussion followed concerning the construction of the fence. It was the consensus of the Board that the proposed fence was not consistent with the **Design Review Guidelines**. The Board made several suggestions on different styles of fences (rail fence, aluminum fence, picket fence) that would be in compliance with the **Design Review Guidelines**. They noted that the proposed fence was out of character and not in harmony with other fences in the neighborhood.

Ms. Murphy noted that several complaints had been received because the fence appeared to look like a chain link fence from its use of galvanized steel posts and supports.

The Board asked Mr. Koz if he would like to table the request and come back with a revised plan. Mr. Koz stated he would prefer to return with a revised plan and requested that his application be tabled.

Mr. Brendel motioned to table ARB# 65-02.

Recorded vote on the motion:

Aye: Ms. Williams, Mr. Freiling, Mr. Williams, Mr. Brendel, Mr. Walker,
Mr. Sandbeck, and Mr. Spence.

Nay: None.

Absent: None.

Abstain: None.

CONCEPTUAL REVIEW

**ARB #62-02 The Seafare Restaurant/1632 Richmond Road – Exterior
Change (revise elevations)**

Richard Pettruny, Independence Construction was present to discuss the conceptual elevations for the Seafare Restaurant including proposed materials.

A general discussion followed with The Board making the following suggestions on the conceptual plans:

Architectural Review Board Minutes

July 9, 2002

Page 3

- Reduce the amount of stucco on the building. The ***Design Review Guidelines*** allow stucco only as an accent.
- Remove the statues.
- Reduce the mass of the building.
- Slope the roof more.

The Board noted that the copper windows and copper front door would be acceptable. Samples of the materials proposed along with colored renderings of the building would be helpful in reviewing proposed changes. Mr. Pettruny will bring a colored rendering and sample materials when revisions are submitted.

Minutes of June 25, 2002 meeting.

The minutes were approved as presented.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:15 pm.

Jason Beck
Zoning Officer